



## Meet the New Boss, Same as the Old Boss: What Shale Development Can Teach Data Centers

The land rush now reshaping rural America does not involve drilling rigs. It involves transformers, fiber, and acre upon acre of server halls. But for lawyers and landowners who lived through the shale revolution, the dynamics will feel uncannily familiar. A new and capital-rich industry has descended on land that was, until recently, valued mainly for agriculture or minerals; it is competing aggressively for sites, signing long-term leases on unfamiliar terms, straining local infrastructure, and testing the patience of host communities. The data-center boom is the shale boom wearing different clothes – and the legal lessons hard-won during the unconventional oil-and-gas era map remarkably well onto the power-hungry economy now taking shape. For energy and real-property practitioners, recognizing the parallel is more than an intellectual exercise. It is a way to anticipate the disputes, draft the protections, and counsel the clients who will define this next development cycle.

### **The Scale of the New Boom**

The numbers driving data-center demand have a familiar, vertiginous quality. U.S. data-center electricity demand has climbed from roughly 23 gigawatts in 2023 toward 42 gigawatts in 2026 and is forecast to keep rising sharply through the end of the decade<sup>1</sup>. Worldwide, data-center power demand is projected to grow more than a quarter year-over-year and pass 130 gigawatts in 2026<sup>2</sup>. Individual hyperscale campuses now routinely exceed 100 megawatts, and gigawatt-scale projects are no longer theoretical: one recently announced Texas agreement contemplates leasing up to roughly 3,400 acres in Reeves County for a campus with as much as two gigawatts of co-located power generation<sup>3</sup>.

That last detail – a giant compute project paired with on-site generation, sited in the heart of the Permian Basin – is where the shale parallel stops being a metaphor and becomes a map. Developers are clustering data centers near abundant natural gas because gas generation is dispatchable, scalable, and reliable, and because the grid alone cannot keep up; interconnection requests for gas generators have surged, and capacity prices in some markets have spiked dramatically<sup>4</sup>. The same geology and infrastructure that made the shale revolution possible – the gas, the pipelines, the energy corridors of Texas, Appalachia, and the Gulf Coast – are now the magnets for the computer revolution.

### **Lesson One: The Lease Is Everything**

The shale era taught a generation of landowners and lawyers that the oil-and-gas lease was the single most consequential document they would ever sign. Bonus payments, royalty clauses, pooling and unitization provisions, surface-use and damage terms, and the habendum “held by production” clause determined who captured value and who bore risk for decades. Landowners who signed early, form leases – before they understood what was beneath them – often regretted it. Sophisticated operators, by contrast, built their fortunes on lease language.

Data-center site leasing is following the same arc, and the unfamiliar terms are migrating to a new center of gravity: power. Unlike conventional commercial real estate, where location and square footage dominate, data-center leasing turns on power access and cost, zoning flexibility, environmental risk, water availability, and long-term stability. Practitioners are already warning landowners to “read the power clause” with the same care a mineral owner once gave a royalty provision. Who secures interconnection capacity? Who bears the cost of grid upgrades, substation construction, and transmission? What happens to the lease if promised power never materializes, or if the tenant’s technology – and its power appetite – changes mid-term? These are the new analogues to the pooling and shut-in royalty fights of the shale years, and the landowners who treat the lease as a sophisticated, negotiated instrument rather than a take-it-or-leave-it form will fare best.

## **Lesson Two: Surface and Subsurface Estates Will Collide**

Few features of oil-and-gas law surprise newcomers more than the severed estate. In productive basins, mineral rights and surface rights are routinely owned by different parties, and the mineral estate is generally dominant – meaning the mineral owner has the right to make reasonable use of the surface to extract resources, even over the surface owner’s objection.

That legal architecture sets up a direct and underappreciated conflict with data-center development. A developer or landowner who controls only the surface estate may build, fence, and lease for above-ground uses – but cannot prevent the mineral owner from accessing the surface to drill. Imagine a several-hundred-million-dollar data campus whose footprint sits atop a producing or leasable mineral estate held by someone else entirely. The shale-era body of law on accommodation doctrine, surface-use agreements, and reasonable-use disputes becomes immediately relevant, repurposed to protect server farms instead of cattle and crops. Counsel advising data-center developers in severed-estate states will need to run mineral-title diligence with the same rigor an oil company would, negotiate surface-use and accommodation agreements proactively, and price the risk that the dominant estate could one day want its access. This is precisely the kind of crossover work – energy title knowledge applied to real-property development – where firms with both practices can add distinctive value.

## **Lesson Three: Communities Push Back, and Permitting Decides**

The shale boom delivered jobs and royalty checks, but it also brought truck traffic, water stress, noise, and the social strain of “boom towns” – rapid growth that engulfed existing communities and left lasting disruption. Where landowners shared directly in the upside through royalties, local resistance tended to be lower; where they did not, opposition hardened.

Data centers invert part of that equation in a way developers ignore at their peril. These facilities consume enormous amounts of power and water but employ very few people once built. A community asked to absorb higher electricity prices, strained water supplies, and a sprawling industrial footprint – without the broad payroll that a factory would bring – has every incentive to resist. Local zoning and

land-use regulation has accordingly emerged as the decisive determinant of whether projects move forward, with municipalities adopting sharply differing postures and some imposing moratoria. The lesson from shale is direct: proactive due diligence on zoning, permitting, and community sentiment is the difference between a smooth launch and a multimillion-dollar standstill. Regulators in several markets are already requiring data centers to shoulder the cost of grid upgrades rather than shifting them onto residential ratepayers – a cost-allocation fight with clear echoes of the severance-tax and impact-fee debates of the shale years.

#### **Lesson Four: Booms End**

Perhaps the most sobering lesson is the one the shale industry learned the hard way. Aggressive, debt-fueled growth saturated the market; when prices collapsed in 2014 and 2015, a wave of bankruptcies followed, and leases, drilling commitments, and infrastructure deals signed at the peak became liabilities. Observers are already drawing the comparison explicitly, noting that AI compute is becoming a physical commodity with its own supply-and-demand balance – and its own risk of overbuilding.

For lawyers, the practical takeaway is to draft for the downturn now, while the leverage and optimism are high. Long-term leases should anticipate tenant default, technological obsolescence, and abandonment. Surface-restoration and decommissioning obligations – familiar from oil-and-gas plugging-and-abandonment requirements – deserve a place in data-center agreements so that landowners are not left with stranded concrete and idle substations if a tenant fails. Financing structures, take-or-pay power contracts, and step-in rights all benefit from the assumption that the cycle will turn, because in commodity-driven industries it always does.

#### **Why This Matters for Clients**

The throughline connecting these lessons is that data-center development is, at its legal core, an infrastructure-and-land business – and that is exactly the business oil-and-gas lawyers have practiced for decades. Lease negotiation, title and severed-estate diligence, surface-use and accommodation, permitting and zoning strategy, community relations, cost allocation, and decommissioning are not new

disciplines that the technology sector must invent. They are mature bodies of law that energy and real-property practitioners can carry directly into the compute economy, adjusting for the differences that matter most – that the prize here is electrons and connectivity rather than hydrocarbons, and that the surface itself, not what lies beneath it, is the asset.

Landowners weighing a data-center lease should approach it with the same skepticism a mineral owner brings to a landman’s first offer. Developers should run diligence as if a dominant mineral estate could appear under their campus, because in many states it can. And host communities and the companies courting them should negotiate cost allocation and community benefits early, before opposition calcifies into moratoria. The boss has changed, but the playbook has not. The firms and clients who recognize that the shale revolution already wrote much of the data-center rulebook will be the ones best positioned for the buildout ahead.

*This article was written by [Arnold D. Lee](#), an attorney in the Phoenix, Arizona office of Spencer Fane. For more information, visit [spencerfane.com](http://spencerfane.com).*

-----  
1 [Gartner](#)

2 [Gartner](#)

3 [Barchart](#)

4 [POWER Magazine](#)

Click [here](#) to subscribe to Spencer Fane communications to ensure you receive timely updates like this directly in your inbox.